

Award Statement—Emery County School District (ECSD)

Solicitation #TD20-41

Approved Vendor List: Architectural Services

I. RESULT

The SOQ was posted and ECSD received ten Statements of Qualifications. The evaluation committee reviewed the submissions and evaluated against the technical requirements stated in the SOQ. Five of the offerors received scores above the minimum threshold of 75 and will be placed on the approved vendor list. These are: **Curtis Miner Architecture, Design West Architects, KMA Architects, MHTN Architects, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects.**

II. EVALUATION PROCESS

A request for statement of qualifications (SOQ) was issued by the ECSD for offerors who were qualified to provide Architectural Services. Pursuant to Utah Code §§ 63G-6a-410 and 507, the SOQ process was used as the initial stage to create an approved vendor list.

Statements of Qualifications were evaluated in accordance with Part 4 of the Utah Procurement Code by an Evaluation Committee comprised of five subject matter experts from the ECSD. A representative from the Division of State Purchasing was consulted throughout the process to ensure Procurement Code compliance but was not a voting member of the Evaluation Committee.

One offeror (Offeror E) was determined to not provide the scope of architectural services being requested by ECSD and was therefore eliminated prior to the scoring of technical criteria.

Nine of the ten offerors advanced to the technical scoring stage, which was scored as follows:

III. TECHNICAL CRITERIA – PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Nine offerors were advanced to the technical scoring stage. These offerors provided the responses requested and were evaluated by the evaluation committee against the following criteria:

A. Firm Experience

Of a possible 30 points in this category: Curtis Miner Architecture received 21 points, Design West Architects received 23 points, KMA Architects received 24 points, MHTN Architects received 25 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 23 points.

Offeror A received 22 points. Offeror B received 21 points. Offeror C received 17 points. Offeror D received 24 points.

B. Rural Experience, Commitment, & Support

Of a possible 15 points in this category: Curtis Miner Architecture received 10 points, Design West Architects received 11 points, KMA Architects received 13 points, MHTN Architects received 12 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 12 points.

Offeror A received 9 points. Offeror B received 8 points. Offeror C received 8 points. Offeror D received 9 points.

C. Individual Experience of Architects Assigned to Project

Of a possible 15 points in this category: Curtis Miner Architecture received 11 points, Design West Architects received 12 points, KMA Architects received 12 points, MHTN Architects received 12 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 12 points.

Offeror A received 11 points. Offeror B received 10 points. Offeror C received 10 points. Offeror D received 12 points.

D. Efficiency Measures

Of a possible 10 points in this category: Curtis Miner Architecture received 8 points, Design West Architects received 9 points, KMA Architects received 8 points, MHTN Architects received 8 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 9 points.

Offeror A received 8 points. Offeror B received 6 points. Offeror C received 6 points. Offeror D received 7 points.

E. Design Ability

Of a possible 10 points in this category: Curtis Miner Architecture received 9 points, Design West Architects received 9 points, KMA Architects received 9 points, MHTN Architects received 9 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 9 points.

Offeror A received 9 points. Offeror B received 7 points. Offeror C received 8 points. Offeror D received 8 points.

F. References

Of a possible 10 points in this category: Curtis Miner Architecture received 8 points, Design West Architects received 8 points, KMA Architects received 8 points, MHTN Architects received 8 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 8 points.

Offeror A received 5 points. Offeror B received 8 points. Offeror C received 5 points. Offeror D received 6 points.

G. Responsiveness

Of a possible 10 points in this category: Curtis Miner Architecture received 8 points, Design West Architects received 8 points, KMA Architects received 8 points, MHTN Architects received 8 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 8 points.

Offeror A received 8 points. Offeror B received 8 points. Offeror C received 8 points. Offeror D received 8 points.

Statement of Qualifications Evaluation Conclusion

Of a possible 100 points total technical points, Curtis Miner Architecture received 75 points, Design West Architects received 80 points, KMA Architects received 82 points, MHTN Architects received 82 points, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects received 81 points.

Offeror A received 72 points. Offeror B received 68 points. Offeror C received 62 points. Offeror D received 74 points. Offeror E was not scored for technical criteria.

The SOQ required a vendor to score a minimum of 75 points to proceed to the subsequent stage.

Because Curtis Miner Architecture, Design West Architects, KMA Architects, MHTN Architects, and Naylor Wentworth Lund Architects' scores met or exceeded this scoring threshold, they are eligible to be placed on the approved vendor list and participate in subsequent RFP's for architect services.